Page 1 of 15

L	er of Voters : 10,158 of 27						-,		Precincts Reporting 132 of 132 = 100
Party	Candi	date	E	arly	Elec	ion		otal	
rustee, Posi	tion 3 FBISD, Vote For 1								
	Vanesia Johnson		824	30.80%	632	28.20%	1,456	29.62%	
	Jim Rice		1,851	69.20%	1,609	71.80%	3,460	70.38%	
		Cast Votes:	2,675	94.26%	2,241	92.34%	4,916	93.37%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	163	5.74%	186	7.66%	349	6.63%	
ustee, Posit	tion 7 FBISD, Vote For 1								
	Keciana Enaohwo		86	3.15%	63	2.69%	149	2.94%	
	Cynthia Lenton Gary		1,032	37.82%	839	35.76%	1,871	36.87%	
	Rodrigo Carreon		102	3.74%	82	3.50%	184	3.63%	
	Dave Rosenthal		1,509	55.29%	1,362	58.06%	2,871	56.57%	
		Cast Votes:	2,729	96.16%	2,346	96.66%	5,075	96.39%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	109	3.84%	81	3.34%	190	3.61%	
rustee At La	arge-Full Term SMSD, Vote Fo	or 3							
	Greg Holsapple		166	23.88%	108	18.43%	274	21.39%	
	Ed Castillo		150	21.58%	123	20.99%	273	21.31%	
	Auturo Jackson		198	28.49%	163	27.82%	361	28.18%	
	Rosemary R. Garcia		68	9.78%	81	13.82%	149	11.63%	
	Christopher L. Caldwell		113	16.26%	111	18.94%	224	17.49%	
		Cast Votes:	695	74.49%	586	75.71%	1,281	75.04%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	238	25.51%	188	24.29%	426	24.96%	
ustee, Sing	le-Member District 1 LCISD, \	/ote For 1							
	Karen Mendoza		237	35.01%	148	47.13%	385	38.85%	
	Michelle Suzanne Pineda		20	2.95%	17	5.41%	37	3.73%	
	Kathryn E. Kaminski		420	62.04%	149	47.45%	569	57.42%	
		Cast Votes:	677	94.95%	314	94.58%	991	94.83%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	36	5.05%	18	5.42%	54	5.17%	

Page 2 of 15

	s : 10,158 of 273,183 = 3.72%	<b></b>						Precincts Reporting 132 of 132 = 100.
Party	Candidate	E	arly	Elect	ion	Т	otal	
rustee, Single-Member I	District 2 LCISD, Vote For 1		_		_			
Kay Danz	riger	171	48.03%	127	54.27%	298	50.51%	
Beth Hors	stman	185	51.97%	107	45.73%	292	49.49%	
	Cast Votes:	356	95.44%	234	95.51%	590	95.47%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	17	4.56%	11	4.49%	28	4.53%	
rustee, Single-Member D	District 3 LCISD, Vote For 1							
Gennaro	(Gerry) D'Onofrio, Jr.	51	30.36%	45	36.89%	96	33.10%	
Frank W.	Torres	117	69.64%	77	63.11%	194	66.90%	
	Cast Votes:	168	88.42%	122	93.13%	290	90.34%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	22	11.58%	9	6.87%	31	9.66%	
rustee, Single-Member [	District 6 LCISD, Vote For 1							
Norma Ca	avazos	204	34.58%	113	35.42%	317	34.87%	
Anna M. C	Gonzales	386	65.42%	206	64.58%	592	65.13%	
	Cast Votes:	590	85.88%	319	87.40%	909	86.41%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	97	14.12%	46	12.60%	143	13.59%	
ouncil Members At-Larg	ge City of Arcola, Vote For 3							
Polly Malv	veaux	1	1.02%	10	6.45%	11	4.35%	
Thomas H	Hilton	25	25.51%	29	18.71%	54	21.34%	
Sharon H	ill	0	0.00%	12	7.74%	12	4.74%	
Abraham	Jones	2	2.04%	20	12.90%	22	8.70%	
Rosie T. F	Rojas	22	22.45%	24	15.48%	46	18.18%	
Greg Aba	ırr	26	26.53%	26	16.77%	52	20.55%	
Florence	A. Jackson	10	10.20%	15	9.68%	25	9.88%	
Florencia	Martinez	12	12.24%	19	12.26%	31	12.25%	
	Cast Votes:	98	85.96%	155	82.01%	253	83.50%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	16	14.04%	34	17.99%	50	16.50%	

Page 3 of 15

#### 05/22/2013 08:38 AM

Precincts Reporting 132 of 132 = 100.00%

	er of Voters : 10,158 of 27	3,183 = 3.72%							Precincts Reporting 132 of 132
Party	Candie	date	E	arly	Elec	tion	1	otal	_1
Proposition	City of Arcola, Vote For 1					-			
	FOR		21	70.00%	37	68.52%	58	69.05%	
	AGAINST		9	30.00%	17	31.48%	26	30.95%	
		Cast Votes:	30	78.95%	54	85.71%	84	83.17%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	8	21.05%	9	14.29%	17	16.83%	
Mayor City	of Rosenberg, Vote For 1								
	Vincent M. Morales, Jr.		905	100.00%	409	100.00%	1,314	100.00%	
		Cast Votes:	905	75.54%	409	78.65%	1,314	76.48%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	293	24.46%	111	21.35%	404	23.52%	
For Council,	, At-Large Position No. 1 City o	f Rosenberg, Vote For 1							
	Joe Vera		257	21.85%	156	30.77%	413	24.54%	
	William Benton		919	78.15%	351	69.23%	1,270	75.46%	
		Cast Votes:	1,176	98.16%	507	97.50%	1,683	97.96%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	22	1.84%	13	2.50%	35	2.04%	
For Council,	, At-Large Position No. 2 City o	f Rosenberg, Vote For 1							
	Laurie Orsak Cook		447	38.97%	232	47.74%	679	41.58%	
	Cynthia McConathy		700	61.03%	254	52.26%	954	58.42%	
		Cast Votes:	1,147	95.74%	486	93.46%	1,633	95.05%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	51	4.26%	34	6.54%	85	4.95%	
For Council,	, District No. 1 City of Rosenbe	rg, Vote For 1							
	Jimmie Pena		90	54.88%	34	43.59%	124	51.24%	
	Juan Salazar		74	45.12%	44	56.41%	118	48.76%	
		Cast Votes:	164	94.80%	78	97.50%	242	95.65%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	9	5.20%	2	2.50%	11	4.35%	

Page 4 of 15

#### 05/22/2013 08:38 AM

Precincts Reporting 132 of 132 = 100.00%

Fotal Numbe	er of Voters : 10,158 of	273,183 = 3.72%							Precincts Reporting 132 of 132
Party	Can	didate	E	arly	Elec	tion		Fotal	
For Council,	District No. 2 City of Rosen	berg, Vote For 1							
	Joe G. Segura		116	44.79%	75	55.97%	191	48.60%	
	Susan Kroll Euton		143	55.21%	59	44.03%	202	51.40%	
		Cast Votes:	259	97.00%	134	94.37%	393	96.09%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	8	3.00%	8	5.63%	16	3.91%	
For Council,	District No. 3 City of Rosen	berg, Vote For 1							
	Dwayne Grigar		300	100.00%	133	100.00%	433	100.00%	
		Cast Votes:	300	75.57%	133	80.61%	433	77.05%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	97	24.43%	32	19.39%	129	22.95%	
For Council,	District No. 4 City of Rosen	berg, Vote For 1							
	Amanda J. Bolf		179	51.29%	54	44.26%	233	49.47%	
	George A. Zepeda		42	12.03%	19	15.57%	61	12.95%	
	George Arroyos		128	36.68%	49	40.16%	177	37.58%	
		Cast Votes:	349	96.68%	122	91.73%	471	95.34%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	12	3.32%	11	8.27%	23	4.66%	
Proposition N	No. 1 City of Rosenberg, Vo	te For 1							
	YES		466	41.87%	214	43.85%	680	42.47%	
	NO		647	58.13%	274	56.15%	921	57.53%	
		Cast Votes:	1,113	92.90%	488	93.85%	1,601	93.19%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	85	7.10%	32	6.15%	117	6.81%	
Proposition N	No. 2 City of Rosenberg, Vo	te For 1							
	YES		773	68.65%	313	64.80%	1,086	67.50%	
	NO		353	31.35%	170	35.20%	523	32.50%	
		Cast Votes:	1,126	93.99%	483	92.88%	1,609	93.66%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	72	6.01%	37	7.12%	109	6.34%	

Page 5 of 15

	rs : 10,158 of 273,183 = 3.72%					,		Precincts Reporting 132 of 132 = 100.
Party	Candidate	E	arly	Elect	ion	Т	otal	
roposition No. 3 City of	f Rosenberg, Vote For 1					_		_
YES		559	53.04%	247	53.46%	806	53.17%	
NO		495	46.96%	215	46.54%	710	46.83%	
	Cast Votes:	1,054	87.98%	462	88.85%	1,516	88.24%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	144	12.02%	58	11.15%	202	11.76%	
roposition No. 4 City of	f Rosenberg, Vote For 1							
YES		819	74.32%	318	66.39%	1,137	71.92%	
NO		283	25.68%	161	33.61%	444	28.08%	
	Cast Votes:	1,102	91.99%	479	92.12%	1,581	92.03%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	96	8.01%	41	7.88%	137	7.97%	
Proposition No. 5 City of	f Rosenberg, Vote For 1							
YES		399	36.67%	174	36.94%	573	36.75%	
NO		689	63.33%	297	63.06%	986	63.25%	
	Cast Votes:	1,088	90.82%	471	90.58%	1,559	90.75%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	110	9.18%	49	9.42%	159	9.25%	
roposition No. 6 City of	f Rosenberg, Vote For 1							
YES		938	84.89%	384	81.53%	1,322	83.88%	
NO		167	15.11%	87	18.47%	254	16.12%	
	Cast Votes:	1,105	92.24%	471	90.58%	1,576	91.73%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	93	7.76%	49	9.42%	142	8.27%	
Proposition No. 7 City of	f Rosenberg, Vote For 1							
YES		872	80.37%	364	77.78%	1,236	79.59%	
NO		213	19.63%	104	22.22%	317	20.41%	
	Cast Votes:	1,085	90.57%	468	90.00%	1,553	90.40%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	113	9.43%	52	10.00%	165	9.60%	

Page 6 of 15

	0,158 of 273,183 = 3.72%							Precincts Reporting 132 of 132 = 100.0
Party	Candidate	E	arly	Elec	tion	ТТ	otal	
roposition No. 8 City of Rose	enberg, Vote For 1							
YES		839	77.33%	336	72.89%	1,175	76.00%	
NO		246	22.67%	125	27.11%	371	24.00%	
	Cast Votes:	1,085	90.57%	461	88.65%	1,546	89.99%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	113	9.43%	59	11.35%	172	10.01%	
roposition No. 9 City of Rose	enberg, Vote For 1							
YES		780	73.45%	310	68.58%	1,090	71.99%	
NO		282	26.55%	142	31.42%	424	28.01%	
	Cast Votes:	1,062	88.65%	452	86.92%	1,514	88.13%	
	Over Votes:	1	0.08%	0	0.00%	1	0.06%	
	Under Votes:	135	11.27%	68	13.08%	203	11.82%	
Proposition No. 10 City of Ros	senberg, Vote For 1							
YES		704	65.79%	291	63.96%	995	65.25%	
NO		366	34.21%	164	36.04%	530	34.75%	
	Cast Votes:	1,070	89.32%	455	87.50%	1,525	88.77%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	128	10.68%	65	12.50%	193	11.23%	
roposition No. 11 City of Ros	senberg, Vote For 1							
YES		657	61.29%	280	61.00%	937	61.20%	
NO		415	38.71%	179	39.00%	594	38.80%	
	Cast Votes:	1,072	89.48%	459	88.27%	1,531	89.12%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	126	10.52%	61	11.73%	187	10.88%	
Iderman Place No. 4 City of N	Meadows Place, Vote For 1							
Terry J. Henley	1	198	100.00%	110	100.00%	308	100.00%	
	Cast Votes:	198	77.04%	110	67.48%	308	73.33%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	59	22.96%	53	32.52%	110	26.67%	

Page 7 of 15

	rs : 10,158 of 273,183 = 3.72%					,		Precincts Reporting 132 of 132 = 100.
Party	Candidate	E	arly	Elec	tion		otal	
Alderman Place No. 5 C	ity of Meadows Place, Vote For 1					-		_
John C.	Whiteman	113	44.66%	58	35.80%	171	41.20%	
Kelle K.	Mills	140	55.34%	104	64.20%	244	58.80%	
	Cast Votes:	253	98.44%	162	99.39%	415	98.81%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	4	1.56%	1	0.61%	5	1.19%	
Council Member, Distric	t A City of Missouri City, Vote For 1							
Rodney	Griffin	58	15.76%	56	16.42%	114	16.08%	
Bobby N	<i>l</i> arshall	133	36.14%	147	43.11%	280	39.49%	
Yolanda	Ford	177	48.10%	138	40.47%	315	44.43%	
	Cast Votes:	368	99.46%	341	98.27%	709	98.88%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	2	0.54%	6	1.73%	8	1.12%	
Council Member, Distric	t B City of Missouri City, Vote For 1							
Don Smi	ith	216	80.60%	223	80.80%	439	80.70%	
Henders	son James Hunter, Jr.	52	19.40%	53	19.20%	105	19.30%	
	Cast Votes:	268	95.71%	276	96.17%	544	95.94%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	12	4.29%	11	3.83%	23	4.06%	
Council Member, Distric	t C City of Missouri City, Vote For 1							
Robin J.	Elackatt	115	100.00%	96	100.00%	211	100.00%	
	Cast Votes:	115	65.34%	96	62.34%	211	63.94%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	61	34.66%	58	37.66%	119	36.06%	
Council Member, Distric	t D City of Missouri City, Vote For 1							
Floyd Er	mery	231	100.00%	171	100.00%	402	100.00%	
	Cast Votes:	231	76.74%	171	72.15%	402	74.72%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	70	23.26%	66	27.85%	136	25.28%	

Page 8 of 15

	r of Voters : 10,158	of $2/3,183 = 3.72\%$							Precincts Reporting 132 of 132 = 100
Party		Candidate	E	arly	Elect	ion	Т	otal	
narter Propo	osition No. 1 City of Miss	souri City, Vote For 1							_
	FOR		815	81.75%	693	76.83%	1,508	79.41%	
	AGAINST		182	18.25%	209	23.17%	391	20.59%	
		Cast Votes:	997	88.46%	902	88.00%	1,899	88.24%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	130	11.54%	123	12.00%	253	11.76%	
narter Propo	osition No. 2 City of Miss	souri City, Vote For 1							
	FOR		739	71.68%	632	68.62%	1,371	70.24%	
	AGAINST		292	28.32%	289	31.38%	581	29.76%	
		Cast Votes:	1,031	91.48%	921	89.85%	1,952	90.71%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	96	8.52%	104	10.15%	200	9.29%	
narter Propo	osition No. 3 City of Miss	souri City, Vote For 1							
	FOR		844	83.32%	734	80.48%	1,578	81.97%	
	AGAINST		169	16.68%	178	19.52%	347	18.03%	
		Cast Votes:	1,013	89.88%	912	88.98%	1,925	89.45%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	114	10.12%	113	11.02%	227	10.55%	
narter Propo	osition No. 4 City of Miss	souri City, Vote For 1							
	FOR		741	74.47%	666	73.51%	1,407	74.01%	
	AGAINST		254	25.53%	240	26.49%	494	25.99%	
		Cast Votes:	995	88.29%	906	88.39%	1,901	88.34%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	132	11.71%	119	11.61%	251	11.66%	
harter Propo	osition No. 5 City of Miss	souri City, Vote For 1							
	FOR		691	68.76%	599	65.46%	1,290	67.19%	
	AGAINST		314	31.24%	316	34.54%	630	32.81%	
		Cast Votes:	1,005	89.17%	915	89.27%	1,920	89.22%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	122	10.83%	110	10.73%	222	10.78%	

Page 9 of 15

otal Numbe	r of Voters : 10,158 (	of 273,183 = 3.72%							Precincts Reporting 132 of 132 = 100
Party		Candidate	E	arly	Elec	ion		otal	
harter Propo	osition No. 6 City of Miss	souri City, Vote For 1					_		_
	FOR		655	65.30%	571	62.82%	1,226	64.12%	
	AGAINST		348	34.70%	338	37.18%	686	35.88%	
		Cast Votes:	1,003	89.00%	909	88.68%	1,912	88.85%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	124	11.00%	116	11.32%	240	11.15%	
harter Propo	osition No. 7 City of Miss	souri City, Vote For 1							
	FOR		631	64.45%	543	60.94%	1,174	62.78%	
	AGAINST		348	35.55%	348	39.06%	696	37.22%	
		Cast Votes:	979	86.87%	891	86.93%	1,870	86.90%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	148	13.13%	134	13.07%	282	13.10%	
harter Propo	osition No. 8 City of Miss	souri City, Vote For 1							
	FOR		862	86.55%	746	82.80%	1,608	84.77%	
	AGAINST		134	13.45%	155	17.20%	289	15.23%	
		Cast Votes:	996	88.38%	901	87.90%	1,897	88.15%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	131	11.62%	124	12.10%	255	11.85%	
harter Propo	osition No. 9 City of Miss	souri City, Vote For 1							
	FOR		776	80.41%	692	78.64%	1,468	79.57%	
	AGAINST		189	19.59%	188	21.36%	377	20.43%	
		Cast Votes:	965	85.63%	880	85.85%	1,845	85.73%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	162	14.37%	145	14.15%	307	14.27%	
harter Propo	osition No. 10 City of Mis	ssouri City, Vote For 1							
	FOR		909	90.18%	786	86.18%	1,695	88.28%	
	AGAINST		99	9.82%	126	13.82%	225	11.72%	
		Cast Votes:	1,008	89.44%	912	88.98%	1,920	89.22%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	119	10.56%	113	11.02%	232	10.78%	

Page 10 of 15

	/oters : 10,158 of 273,	103 = 3.72%	-						Precincts Reporting 132 of 132 = 100
Party	Candidat	e	E	arly	Elec	tion		otal	
harter Proposition	n No. 11 City of Missouri Ci	ity, Vote For 1							
FO	R		603	60.12%	505	55.80%	1,108	58.07%	
AG	AINST		400	39.88%	400	44.20%	800	41.93%	
		Cast Votes:	1,003	89.00%	905	88.29%	1,908	88.66%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	124	11.00%	120	11.71%	244	11.34%	
narter Propositio	n No. 12 City of Missouri Ci	ity, Vote For 1							
FO	R		630	63.00%	556	61.50%	1,186	62.29%	
AG	AINST		370	37.00%	348	38.50%	718	37.71%	
		Cast Votes:	1,000	88.73%	904	88.20%	1,904	88.48%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	127	11.27%	121	11.80%	248	11.52%	
harter Propositio	n No. 13 City of Missouri Ci	ity, Vote For 1							
FO	R		780	79.84%	670	76.05%	1,450	78.04%	
AG	AINST		197	20.16%	211	23.95%	408	21.96%	
		Cast Votes:	977	86.69%	881	85.95%	1,858	86.34%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	150	13.31%	144	14.05%	294	13.66%	
narter Proposition	n No. 14 City of Missouri Ci	ity, Vote For 1							
FO	R		766	77.85%	665	74.38%	1,431	76.20%	
AG	AINST		218	22.15%	229	25.62%	447	23.80%	
		Cast Votes:	984	87.31%	894	87.22%	1,878	87.27%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	143	12.69%	131	12.78%	274	12.73%	
harter Proposition	n No. 15 City of Missouri Ci	ity, Vote For 1							
FO	R		837	85.06%	726	80.49%	1,563	82.87%	
AG	AINST		147	14.94%	176	19.51%	323	17.13%	
		Cast Votes:	984	87.31%	902	88.00%	1,886	87.64%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	143	12.69%	123	12.00%	266	12.36%	

Page 11 of 15

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	: 10,158 of 273,183 = 3.72%							Precincts Reporting 132 of 132 = 100.
Party	Candidate	E	arly	Elect	ion	Т	otal	
ROPOSITION NO. 1 City o	of Richmond, Vote For 1		_					
FOR		203	77.19%	104	70.75%	307	74.88%	
AGAINST		60	22.81%	43	29.25%	103	25.12%	
	Cast Votes:	263	98.50%	147	95.45%	410	97.39%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	4	1.50%	7	4.55%	11	2.61%	
ROPOSITION NO. 2 City o	of Richmond, Vote For 1							
FOR		154	59.46%	74	50.34%	228	56.16%	
AGAINST		105	40.54%	73	49.66%	178	43.84%	
	Cast Votes:	259	97.00%	147	95.45%	406	96.44%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	8	3.00%	7	4.55%	15	3.56%	
ROPOSITION 1 WCID 10,	Vote For 1							
FOR		1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	
AGAINST		0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Cast Votes:	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
ROPOSITION 2 WCID 10,	Vote For 1							
FOR		1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	
AGAINST		0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Cast Votes:	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
ROPOSITION ESD 6 City	of Beasley, Vote For 1							
FOR		19	65.52%	16	88.89%	35	74.47%	
AGAINST		10	34.48%	2	11.11%	12	25.53%	
	Cast Votes:	29	93.55%	18	100.00%	47	95.92%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	2	6.45%	0	0.00%	2	4.08%	

Page 12 of 15

	/oters : 10,158 of 273,183 = 3.	/ 2% 							Precincts Reporting 132 of 132 = 100
Party	Candidate		E	arly	Elec	tion		otal	
ROPOSITION ESI	0 6 City of Kendleton, Vote For 1								
FO	R		6	46.15%	8	100.00%	14	66.67%	
AG	AINST		7	53.85%	0	0.00%	7	33.33%	
	Cast	Votes:	13	92.86%	8	100.00%	21	95.45%	
	Over	Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under	Votes:	1	7.14%	0	0.00%	1	4.55%	
ROPOSITION ESI	0 6 City of Needville, Vote For 1								
FO	R		45	39.82%	42	52.50%	87	45.08%	
AG	AINST		68	60.18%	38	47.50%	106	54.92%	
	Cast	Votes:	113	98.26%	80	100.00%	193	98.97%	
	Over	Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under	Votes:	2	1.74%	0	0.00%	2	1.03%	
ROPOSITION ES	0 6 Village of Fairchilds, Vote For 1								
FO	R		4	20.00%	13	50.00%	17	36.96%	
AG	AINST		16	80.00%	13	50.00%	29	63.04%	
	Cast	Votes:	20	100.00%	26	100.00%	46	100.00%	
	Over	Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under	Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
ROPOSITION ESI	0 6 Village of Pleak, Vote For 1								
FO	R		31	79.49%	29	80.56%	60	80.00%	
AG	AINST		8	20.51%	7	19.44%	15	20.00%	
	Cast	Votes:	39	100.00%	36	100.00%	75	100.00%	
	Over	Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under	Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
PROPOSITION ESI	0 6 Beasley ETJ, Vote For 1								
FO	R		6	75.00%	2	25.00%	8	50.00%	
AG	AINST		2	25.00%	6	75.00%	8	50.00%	
	Cast	Votes:	8	100.00%	8	100.00%	16	100.00%	
	Over	Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	Under	Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	

Page 13 of 15

	ers : 10,158 of 273,183 = 3.72%		Precincts Reporting 132 of 132 = 100.0		
Party	Candidate	Early	Election	Total	
ROPOSITION ESD 6 I	East Bernard ETJ, Vote For 1				
FOR		0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	
AGAIN	ST	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	
	Cast Votes:	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	
	Over Votes:	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	
	Under Votes:	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	
ROPOSITION ESD 6 I	Fairchilds ETJ, Vote For 1				
FOR		1 14.29%	2 16.67%	3 15.79%	
AGAIN	ST	6 85.71%	10 83.33%	16 84.21%	
	Cast Votes:	7 100.00%	12 100.00%	19 100.00%	
	Over Votes:	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	
	Under Votes:	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	
ROPOSITION ESD 6 I	Kendleton ETJ, Vote For 1				
FOR		1 50.00%	1 100.00%	2 66.67%	
AGAIN	ST	1 50.00%	0 0.00%	1 33.33%	
	Cast Votes:	2 66.67%	1 100.00%	3 75.00%	
	Over Votes:	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	
	Under Votes:	1 33.33%	0 0.00%	1 25.00%	
ROPOSITION ESD 6 I	Needville ETJ, Vote For 1				
FOR		8 22.22%	0 0.00%	8 20.00%	
AGAIN	ST	28 77.78%	4 100.00%	32 80.00%	
	Cast Votes:	36 100.00%	4 100.00%	40 100.00%	
	Over Votes:	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	
	Under Votes:	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	
ROPOSITION ESD 6	Orchard ETJ, Vote For 1				
FOR		0 0.00%	2 100.00%	2 100.00%	
AGAIN	ST	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	
	Cast Votes:	0 0.00%	2 100.00%	2 100.00%	
	Over Votes:	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	
	Under Votes:	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	

Page 14 of 15

#### 05/22/2013 08:38 AM

Precincts Reporting 132 of 132 = 100.00%

otal Number	r of Voters : 10,1	58 of 273,183 = 3.72%							Precincts Reporting 132 of 132
Party		Candidate	E	arly	Elec	tion	1	otal	_1
PROPOSITION	N ESD 6 Pleak ETJ,	Vote For 1							
	FOR		5	45.45%	6	35.29%	11	39.29%	
	AGAINST		6	54.55%	11	64.71%	17	60.71%	
		Cast Votes:	11	100.00%	17	100.00%	28	100.00%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
PROPOSITION	N ESD 6 Rosenberg	ETJ, Vote For 1							
	FOR		54	75.00%	48	73.85%	102	74.45%	
	AGAINST		18	25.00%	17	26.15%	35	25.55%	
		Cast Votes:	72	98.63%	65	98.48%	137	98.56%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	1	1.37%	1	1.52%	2	1.44%	
PROPOSITION	N ESD 6 Thompson	s ETJ, Vote For 1							
	FOR		0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
	AGAINST		38	100.00%	13	100.00%	51	100.00%	
		Cast Votes:	38	97.44%	13	100.00%	51	98.08%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	1	2.56%	0	0.00%	1	1.92%	
PROPOSITION	NESD 6, Vote For 1								
	FOR		75	38.46%	100	48.78%	175	43.75%	
	AGAINST		120	61.54%	105	51.22%	225	56.25%	
		Cast Votes:	195	97.50%	205	98.56%	400	98.04%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	5	2.50%	3	1.44%	8	1.96%	
PROPOSITION	N ESD 4, Vote For 1								
	FOR		48	92.31%	63	77.78%	111	83.46%	
	AGAINST		4	7.69%	18	22.22%	22	16.54%	
		Cast Votes:	52	100.00%	81	98.78%	133	99.25%	
		Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
		Under Votes:	0	0.00%	1	1.22%	1	0.75%	

Page 15 of 15

otal Number of Voters :	Precincts Reporting 132 of 132 = 100.00							
Party	Candidate	Ea	Early		Election		Total	)
PROPOSITION ESD 4 Prop	Annex, Vote For 1			_				_
FOR		60	93.75%	33	100.00%	93	95.88%	
AGAINST		4	6.25%	0	0.00%	4	4.12%	
	Cast Votes:	64	98.46%	33	100.00%	97	98.98%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	(	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	1	1.54%	0	0.00%	,	1.02%	
PROPOSITION 1 MUD 2, Vo	ote For 1							
FOR		12	60.00%	8	42.11%	20	) 51.28%	
AGAINST		8	40.00%	11	57.89%	19	48.72%	
	Cast Votes:	20	90.91%	19	100.00%	39	95.12%	
	Over Votes:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	(	0.00%	
	Under Votes:	2	9.09%	0	0.00%	2	4.88%	